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Date: 6 Feb 12

**REPORT OF THE WRC-12 AGENDA ITEM COORDINATOR**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agenda Item No.**: AI 1.4 |
| **Name of the Coordinator (with Email)**: Eddy D’Amico (AUS) (eddy.damico@airservicesaustralia.com) |
| **Issues:** to consider, based on the results of ITU‑R studies, any further regulatory measures to facilitate introduction of new aeronautical mobile (R) service (AM(R)S) systems in the bands 112-117.975 MHz, 960-1 164 MHz and 5 000-5 030 MHz in accordance with Resolutions **413 (Rev.WRC‑07)**, **417 (WRC‑07)** and **420 (WRC‑07)** |
| **APT Proposals**:  **MOD** ASP/26A4/1  Support Method A of CPM Report - revisions to Res 413 as studies on protection of FM broadcasting receivers operating below 108 MHz are complete.  **MOD** ASP/26A4/2  Support Method B of CPM Report - revisions to Res 417 to reflect studies on protection of RNSS and non-ICAO ARNS systems are complete. Further, APT Members believe that it is important that practical operational measures be developed to facilitate the coordination between AM(R)S systems and non-ICAO ARNS systems.  **SUP** ASP/26A4/3  Support Method C1 of CPM Report – no additional AM(R)S allocation at 5 GHz and suppression of Res 420 as studies have been completed. |
| **Status of the APT Proposals:**  **MOD** ASP/26A4/1  Proposal fully accepted. Second reading of revised Res 413 approved at Plenary on 26 Jan.  **MOD** ASP/26A4/2  Compromise APT proposal developed. WP4B approved revised Res 417 on Fri 3 Feb.  **SUP** ASP/26A4/3  Strong support for APT proposal. The US and many African countries looking at a country footnote for an allocation in the 5 000-5 010 MHz band. CEPT strongly opposed a country footnote being developed. Issue considered at the WP4B meeting on 1 & 3 Feb. The chair asked that SWG a.i. 1.4 reconsider the matter before WP4B meeting today. At the SWG a.i. 1.4 meeting this morning, CEPT agreed to develop text for a footnote and Resolution. However, CEPT clarified that it will oppose an allocation by footnote at WP4B and COM4. |
| **Issues to be discussed at the Coordination Meeting:** Nil |
| **Comments/Remarks by the Coordinator**: The Res 420 footnote matter and decision on country footnote will be debated at WP4B this afternoon and then probably COM4 later in the week. |