**Update and Status of ACPs during PP-14 (02 November 2014)**

| **Title of ACP** | **Published ACP No.** | **Summary of Proposal** | **Status of the ACPs** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ITU Stable Constitution** | **ACP/67A1/1****to****ACP/67A1/4** | This ACP document contains four proposals regarding the ITU Stable Constitution. In these four proposals APT Member propose no change to the general structure of the Basic Instrument, no change to the status of the CS and CV, no change to Article 4 of the Constitution and Suppression of Resolution 163 (Guadalajara, 2010). | General discussion took place at the 1st meeting of COM5 mainly on the issue of Stable Constitution of ITU and Resolution 163. APT submitted 8 ACPs on the issue. Delegate from Iran introduced and explained ACPs on behalf of APT.  There were proposals from USA, B/CAN/CLM/USA, CITEL, CEPT, ARB, ATU, J and INS on the issue. Other than the proposals from ATU and J the essence of all proposals were similar to that of ACPs. Proposals from ATU and J were in favor to continue the work of the CWG  until PP-18 with new mandates.After intensive discussion an ad hoc group was formed under the Chairmanship of Mr. Alexander Vassilev (RUS). The group met several times and decided in consensus the followings:* that no modification to the structure of, and hierarchy between, the Constitution and the Convention (as currently stipulated in the relevant parts of Article 4 of the Constitution) and no amendment to any individual provision of the Constitution and the Convention should be adopted at PP-14;
* to suppress (SUP) Resolution 163 (Guadalajara, 2010);
* Not to reactivate the Council Working Group on the issue of a stable Constitution established under Resolution163 (Guadalajara, 2010);

The AHG CS/CV recognized actions taken and the results achieved by the Council Working Group on a Stable Constitution, and pointed out that Document PP14/52 could serve as a helpful reference and supporting elements for Member States as well as regional telecommunication organizations and future Plenipotentiary Conferences for further consideration of this matter.The outcomes of AHG are available in document DT/24. It has been approved by COM5. It will be reflected in COM5 Chairman’s to the PL.All the ACPs on this issue had been accepted by the Conference. |
| **Proposed Revision of Decision 5 (Guadalajara, 2010):** *Income and Expenditure for the Union for the Period 2012-2015* | **ACP/67A1/7** | This ACP document contains proposal to revise Decision 5 (Guadalajara, 2010) related to income and Expenditure of the Union. APT Members propose to change the title as Revenue and Expenses on the Union. The proposed revisions are related to the identification of additional measures towards reducing expenses in view of the difficult situation being faced by the Union to balance the budget.  |  |
| **Proposed Revision of Decision 11 (Guadalajara, 2010):** *Creation and management of Council working group* | **ACP/67A1/8** | This ACP document contains proposal to revise Decision 11 (Guadalajara, 2010) related to creation and management of Council working groups. APT members feel that Council has not fully implemented the actions required under *decides* 4 and 5. Hence, it is proposed to strengthen the instructions to Council on the implementation of Decision 11.  |  |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 162 (Guadalajara, 2010):** *Independent management advisory committee* | **ACP/67A1/14** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 162 (Guadalajara, 2010) on the issues of IMAC. APT members propose to review the terms of reference of IMAC and renew the mandate of IMAC for an additional 4 years until end of 2019.  | Australia introduced ACP/67A1/14 on this Resolution in the 5th meeting of COM6, on the afternoon of Monday 27 October. The document was introduced alongside proposals from the USA, CITEL, RCC and CEPT. In addition to the proposals, there were some comments from the floor from Canada for specific text and other general supportive comments.Australia has been asked to Chair a drafting group on the discussion of Resolution 162. The drafting group will be meeting at 17:30 on Tuesday, 28 October after COM6 (details will be on the daily schedule). |
| **Amendment/Revision to and/or Addition of New Terms and Definition to those Currently Contained in Annexes to the ITU CS and CV** | **ACP/67A1/5, ACP/67A1/6** | This ACP document contains two proposals regarding the amendment/revision to and/or addition of New Terms and Definition to those Currently Contained in Annexes to the ITU CS and CV. APT Members propose no change and no addition in this context. | Please see comments above in ACP/67A1/1 to 4. |
| **Working Definition of the Term “ICT”** | **ACP/67A1/19** | This ACP document contains proposal regarding the use of Working Definition of the Tern “ICT” as developed by the Correspondence Group of the Council. APT Members propose to options to deal with this working definition of the Term “ICT”. | This ACP was presented at the 9th meeting on COM5 by Iran. Secretariat provided two documents 48(Rev.1) and 90 on this issue. Different opinions were expressed on the proposed working definition as in the secretariat document. CEPT, USA and CAN mentioned that the definition is acceptable and no further action required. APT mainly proposed the procedural approach where PP agrees the definition as proposed. A number of countries expressed concern that the definition is immature and PP-14 should not take any decision. B further supported Option 2 as proposed in ACP. Considering the difference in opinion Chairman of COM5 suggested APT to consult with two parties and decide the course of action. APT nominated Dr. Safavi from Iran to coordinate the work on behalf of APT.  |
| **Proposed New Resolution** on *Harnessing the Benefits of Convergence through the Utilization of ICT Applications* | **ACP/67A1/17** | This ACP document contains proposal for a draft new Resolution on Harnessing the Benefits of Convergence through the Utilization of ICT Applications. APT members believe that the utilization of ICT applications will contribute to the economic growth of Member States. However, each Member States’ program must be coordinated accordingly in order to leverage the effects of ICT applications. In addition, the lack of economic and financial competence of developing countries should be taken into account by ITU and Member States. | This ACP was presented at the 6th Meeting of WGPL by Republic of Korea. Concern was raised on this proposed resolution by USA. USA provided comments to APT on this regard. There were other concerns as well. WGPL formed an AHG under the chairmanship of Republic of Korea. The AHG meet several times and agreed that text from this new resolution. The tile of the Resolution was changed to “Creating an enabling environment for the deployment and use of ICT Applications”. The final version of the proposed new Resolution can be found in DT/49 and it was approved by the WGPL. This ACP was accepted by the Conference with the modifications as mentioned.  |
| **Proposed New Resolution** on *Facilitating Internet of Things (IoT) to Prepare for a Globally Connected World* | **ACP/67A1/18** | This ACP document contains proposal for a draft new Resolution on Facilitating Internet of Things (IoT) to Prepare for a Globally Connected World. APT Members believes that IoT will play a major part in ICTs for development during next few years and ITU as specialized agency for ICT issues to address the IoT in a comprehensive manner.  | This ACP was presented at the 4th Meeting of WGPL by Republic of Korea. Concern was raised on this proposed resolution by USA and other countries related to the spectrum issues as proposed. An AHG was formed under the chairmanship of Republic Korea. The issues related to frequency allocation were taken out from the proposal. DT/31 was made available for WGPL and WGPL adopted. It was subsequently approved by the PL in first and second reading in document 130.This ACP was successfully adopted by the Conference with the modifications. |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 182 (Guadalajara, 2010):** *The role of telecommunications/information and communication technologies in regard to climate change and the protection of the environment* | **ACP/67A1/16** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 182 (Guadalajara, 2010). APT Members feel that while the use of efficient ICT's can reduce GHG emissions, it is important to note that ICT, itself will also be a source of GHG emission. The reduction of GHG's from ICT is of primary concern, as the use of ICTs is going to increase several folds in coming years. | This ACP was introduced at the 5th Meeting of WGPL meeting by China. There were proposals on the same issue from ATU/RCC/ARB/EUR/INS. As the theme of all proposals were similar, Chairman suggested consolidation approach from all the proposals. The task was given to Egypt. Consolidated proposal was presented at the WGPL in DT/52 for the approval of WGPL. It was approved so. The elements of ACPS were properly reflected in the outcome.  |
| **The Need to Facilitate the Operation in Complementing Terrestrial Surveillance with Continuous Aircraft Surveillance via Satellite: New Agenda Item to Satisfy above Mentioned Need** | **ACP/67A1/20, ACP/67A1/21** | This ACP document contains two proposals in relation to the need to facilitate the operation in complementing terrestrial surveillance with continuous aircraft surveillance via satellite. In these proposals APT Members propose, on a exceptional basis and without setting a precedence to recognise the need to facilitate the operation in complementing terrestrial surveillance with continuous aircraft surveillance via satellite to provide a complete airspace surveillance coverage picture to air traffic management. Further, WRC-15 is recommended to reflect the above-mentioned recognition in an appropriate manner. | APT submitted two ACPs on this regard. ACPs are ACP/67A1/20 and ACP/67A1/21. Malaysia was the lead country for those proposals.There were proposals on this issue from CITEL, ATU, ARB and EUR. APT did not propose any resolution of PP-14 on the issue whereas other proposals included a draft new resolution of PP-14 addressing the importance of the issue  and instruct WRC-15 to take appropriate actions. However, there are differences in the essence of various proposals. Proposals from CITEL and ATU include an instruction (from PP-14)  to WRC-15 to study the matter and more importantly to include that as an Agenda Item of WRC-15 in accordance with CV119. The essence of the proposals from ARB and CEPT resembles with that of APT which basically suggested WRC-15 to address the issue and take appropriate actions. However, not put an Agenda Item for WRC-15. RCC also expressed their views which resemble with that of ACPs.  Even there is common agreement on the urgency and need of the issue to be addressed, a number of Member States raised concern that the issue is purely technical and the matter need to be dealt carefully at PP-14 and then later by WRC-15. Some also raised concern that the issue need to look from the view point of technical matters rather than political issues and any quick decision may mislead the study of this very important issue.   Most of the interventions favor that WRC-15 addresses the issue and take appropriate actions. COM5 chairman suggested that a PP-14 resolution will be developed. However considering the difference on the opinions and essence of various proposals, Chairman formed an Drafting Group under the chairmanship of Germany. The DG meet several times and developed a new resolution which was made available in document DT/33. COM5 approved it and it was then approved by the PL in document 126. The essence of the ACPs on this regard was perfectly reflected in the outcomes of the Conference in the new Resolution. |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 22 (Rev. Antalya, 2006):** *Apportionment of revenues in providing international telecommunication services* | **ACP/67A1/9** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 22 (Rev. Antalya, 2006). There had been progress made in WTSA-08 as well as WTSA-12 on the issue and hence APT Members propose the reflect those changes in the Resolution.  | This ACP was presented the proposal at the 6th meeting of COM5by China. There was a proposal from B on the same issue. Some concern was raised by several countries on the proposal of B as the proposal of B extends the scope of the Res. Chairman suggested to hold informal discussion on the guidance that not to go to the detail technical matters. Bl was given the task.B reported the outcome of informal discussion. Informal group suggested COM5 not to revise Res.22 rather to include in the report of COM5 to PL regarding the study of the matter on international internet connection.COM5 decided not to revise Res.22 at PP-14 and asked ITU-T to study the matter as mentioned above.  |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 123 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010):** *Bridging the standardization gap between developing and developed countries* | **ACP/67A1/10** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 123 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010) regarding the bridging the standardization gap between developing and developed countries. APT Members feel that human resources play an important role in the process of ICT/Telecommunication development. Therefore, human capacity building should be one of the main activities in bridging the standardization gap. ITU is expected to assist developing countries in this task so that they can have stronger human resources to serve in the process of ICT/Telecommunication development. | It was presented by Vietnam at the 6th Meeting of WGPL this morning. Two other proposals from CITEL and RCC. Chair of WGPL set up a consolidation group for this resolution leaded by South Africa with the attendance of CITEL, RCC, APT and possible US and Mali.The ACP on Res 123 has been discussed in WGPL together with proposals from CITEL, RCC and reports from TSB director on the implementation of Resolution 44 of WTSA. A consolidation exercise has been done to consolidate the texts for the revision of this Resolution with the participation of Viet Nam, Argentina, US, South Africa. The main changes proposed by ACP is to update the new draft Strategic Plan of the Union for the period 2016 – 2019 regarding the ITU-T ‘s objectives in the preambles of the reslotion and to add a new resolve “to instruct the Secretary-General and the Directors of the three Bureaux to provide developing Member States with assistance to enhance human capacity building in the standardization field”; CITEL ‘s proposals are similar to APT except the highlight of capacity building including collaboration with the relevant academic institutions; RCC’s main proposals after amendment during the meeting will be “to promote the timely development of guidelines for developing countries on the basis of ITU-R and ITU-T recommendations, particularly those related to priority standardization issues including the introduction and switchover to new technologies, as well as the preparation and application of the ITU Recommendations” These are both consolidated in the revision of Res. 123 in DT 41 and already approved in WGPL.  |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 131 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010):** *Information and communication technology index and community connectivity indicators* | **ACP/67A1/11** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 131 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010) to reflect the progress achieved so far within adopted Resolution 8 (Rev. Dubai, 2014) and the Programme 4 in Dubai action plan by WTDC-14. | China introduced this ACP at the 6th meeting of WGPL. There was another proposal from the CITEL on this Resolution. In addition to the proposals, there were some comments from Dominican, Mali, Russian, Papua New Guinea for minor suggestions and general supportive comments. Argentina has been asked to chair a drafting group on the discussion of Resolution 131.The outcome of the drafting group was made available in document DT/42. It was approved by WGPL. The revisions proposed in the ACP were properly reflected in the outcome. |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 176 (Guadalajara, 2010):** *Human exposure to and measurement of electromagnetic fields* | **ACP/67A1/15** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 176 (Guadalajara, 2010). APT Members proposed revisions based on the outcome of WTDC-14 in Resolution 62 “Measurement concerns related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields”. It is felt that revision of the above resolution has significant implication in the management of wireless communication system and equipment. Hence, that should be reflected in the of Resolution 176 (Guadalajara, 2010) in order to ensure assistance from ITU to guarantee a safer wireless environment.  | The meeting of WGPL on 28 Oct has discussed the proposals from APT, Arab States and ATU on this matter. This was presented by Vietnam. The proposals have received variety of comments from participants. Chairman of WGPL has decided to establish an AHG to consolidate various proposals. Viet Nam was designated as Chairman of the AHG.There had been many discussion during the AHG meeting, especially on the involvement of ITU in human exposure to electromagnetic field issue. However, the AHG came out with the draft of Resolution. The draft revision of Resolution was put forward to WGPL in the document DT/46 and it was approved in this morning by WGPL. Most of APT proposals have been reflexed into the draft of resolution. |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 137 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010):** *Next generation network deployment in developing countries* | **ACP/67A1/13** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 137 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010). APT Members feel that there are issues for developing countries regarding the operation and exploitation of NGN considering the fact that the amount of money to invest for NGN is really big. Therefore, guideline on effective operation of NGN network is essential for developing countries. Further, support of ITU in evaluating tariff and cost of telecom services in NGN network is necessary.  |  |
| **Proposed Revision of Resolution 136 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010):** *The use of telecommunications/information and communication technologies for monitoring and management in emergency and disaster situations for early warning, prevention, mitigation and relief* | **ACP/67A1/12** | This ACP document contains proposal to reviseResolution 136 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010). APT Members believe that it is essential to enhance cooperation for monitoring and managing the serious effect of disasters. Further, application of modern technology plays key role in alerting and warning. However, developing countries need training program on applying those advanced technologies for emergency and disaster situation.  | It was presented by the delegates of Vietnam on behalf of APT at the first meeting of WGPL. There had been two proposals on the same issue from CITEL and IND. Concerns were raised regarding the proposal from IND. It was expressed that the proposals from IND may go beyond the essence of the Resolution and  that included too technical details. A small group was formed under the Chairmanship of Bahamas to discuss the three proposals on table. Small group will report back to WGPL regarding the outcomes.The Ad-hoc group has come out with the draft of text. Most of APT proposals have been included into the draft of resolution. Document is available in DT/19. It was approved by the PL is document 126. |
| **Procedures and Working Methods of RRB** | **ACP/67A3/1** | This ACP document contains proposal regarding the procedures and working methods of RRB. APT Members are not in favor of any modification to Procedures and working methods of the RRB or adopting a new Resolution on these issues. However, this proposal will only be submitted if a proposal on this issue is submitted by other entity to PP-14. | It was presented by Iran at the 5th Meeting of COM 5. CEPT submitted a proposal (EUR/80A1/7) for the revision of Res 119 (Methods to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the Radio Regulations Board). In response to the proposal APT submitted the ACP. While the proposal from CEPT included the ‘Declaration & Statement of Private, Financial and Other Interests Form’, ACP states simply that Members are not in favor of any modification to Procedures and working methods of the RRB or adopting a new Resolution on these issues. It was presented by Iran on behalf of APT. Iran explained that RRB Members are elected by PPs. Hence, such kind of declaration may not be appropriate. Although there had been acknowledgement of the fact of transparency of decisions (by RRB Members), many administrations raised concerns  on the proposed revision. They mentioned that there had been no such situation faced by RRB until now and even such situation arise subsequent WRC can discuss such situation. J expressed it support for the ACPs. It was also mentioned by RUS that, RRB Members work in provisions under the Basic Instrument of the Union. Hence, changing working procedure of RRB through revising Res. 119 may not be appropriate as they are elected by PPs.  Chairman suggested to make consultation among the concerned administrations and report back to COM5. France led the informal group for discussion. Informal group decided that Res. 119 would not be updated. A text was drafted by the informal group in order to include those in the minutes of the PL. Document can be found in document DT/65 which was approved by the COM5. APT’s views that expressed in ACP was reflected in the outcomes. |
| Interference and Monitoring of Emissions | **ACP/67A3/2** | This ACP document contains proposal regarding interference and monitoring of emission. APT Members propose that the issues relating to interference and international monitoring of emissions are within the purview of the WRC and/or RRB, therefore such issues need to be treated by these entities as appropriate. However, this proposal will only be submitted if a proposal on this issue is submitted by other entity to PP-14. | It was presented by Iran at the 5th Meeting of COM 5. CEPT submitted a proposal (EUR/80A1/8) for a draft new resolution on outer space activities. In response to that ACP was prepared and submitted. Iran presented the ACP on behalf of APT. While the proposal of CEPT includes the delicate  issue of monitoring harmful interference in several places in the proposed resolution, ACP clearly stated that the issue of relating to interference and international monitoring of emissions are within the purview of the WRC and/or RRB, therefore such issues need to be treated by these entities as appropriate. CEPT countries particularly UK and F provided rationale for their proposal. However, concerned was raised by many Administrations on the purpose of CEPT proposal and clarification was sought. APT countries as well as RUS and ARB expressed their support to the proposal of APT. Chairman formed a small adhoc group to look into the detail of the issue. It was suggested that the group should look into the high level aspect of the issue rather than going into detail.The AHG reported back to COM5. The outcome of the AHG reflected the comments raised and presented to COM5 is document DT/36. It was approved by COM5 accordingly. The essence of the ACP was properly reflected in the new Resolution. |
| ITU Stable Constitution | **ACP/67A2/1,****ACP/67A2/2** | APT Members are of the view that the consideration of a Stable Constitution is one of the critical and fundamental issues to be examined by the Plenipotentiary Conference (Busan, 2014). APT Members hold the strong belief that until a decision on this issue is finalized it seems premature and even counterproductive to amend any Article of the Basic Instruments of the Union. This fact is reflected in the APT Common Proposals ACP/67A1/1, ACP/67A1/2, ACP/67A1/3 and ACP/67A1/4. Further to that APT Members propose no change to any provisions in the Constitution and no change to any provisions of the Convention unless the proposed modifications are absolutely necessary and could not be achieved through other possible means. | Further, two ACPs (ACP/67A2/1 and ACP/67A2/2)  proposed NOC  to CS and CV unless there is specific need for that and cannot be achieved by other means. There has been a number of proposals to amend provisions of CS and CV at the PP-14.  However, there have been supports for ACPs on this regard  as the “Group on Stable CS and CV” will find the ways and means to give relative stability to CS and CV.  Already covered in previous ACPs. It has already accepted by the Conference. This Conference will not deal any proposal for the amendments of CS/CV. |
| Access to ITU Documents  | **ACP/67A2/8** | APT Members considered the issue related to access to ITU documentations taking into account the result of discussions at ITU Council. Based on the APT Members propose to have different kind of access policy for ITU documents based on document categories. Eight such categories have been identified and access policy has been proposed. | This ACP was presented by Japan at the 6th Meeting of COM5. There were proposals from USA/ARB/EUR on the same issue. Various views were expressed on the issue in those proposals. Intensive discussion took place. Chairman suggested to work in a methodological manner as views are divergent. A working group was formed under the chairmanship of Mexico. The Group intensively discussed various aspects of different proposal. Delicate compromise was made on the issue which was made available in document DT/61 in COM5 meeting. In the document it is proposed that the input and output documents of Conference and Assemblies be made available for public access from the beginning of 2015. Also, CWG FHR was instructed to do the work and Council to implement that provisionally. It was approved by COM5.   |
| Proposed Revision of Resolution 169 (Guadalajara, 2010): *Admission of academia, universities and their associated research establishments to participate in the work of the three Sectors of the Union*  | **ACP/67A2/6** | In this ACP, APT Members have examined Resolution 169 (Guadalajara, 2010) and made necessary amendments in order to reflect the status of participation of academia, universities and their associated research establishments in the work of the three ITU Sectors. | This ACP was introduced at the 6th Meeting of COM5 by Australia. Australia has been asked to chair an ad hoc drafting group, open to all, to discuss the various proposals on the participation of academia and prepare a common text.The AHG concluded its work on Resolution 169 on Friday. The draft resolution has been published as DT/59. Many of the proposed modifications from ACP/67A2/6 were reflected in the final draft. Most importantly, the AHG agreed to continue the academia category without reference to another trial period. The AHG also agreed to proposals for additional text on broader participation in the work of the Union from the Arab Group, and to a proposal from CITEL for academia to pay one fee for participation in all three Sectors, rather than one as was the case previously. |
| Proposed Revision of Resolution 25 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010): *Strengthening the regional presence* | **ACP/67A2/3** | In this ACP, APT Members propose to revise Resolution 25 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010) based on the fact that, information about what actions and activities field offices are in fact performing in regards to the implementation of the mandates of the ITU is not only necessary for the report of regional presence to the Council but it also important to all Member States in the region. Besides, the information on what activities in operational plan will be implemented by regional presence in each year will be more important for Member States in each region to keep following and participate in. This will help to better assess the efficiency of the field offices so that Member States can compare between the plan and the result achieved in the report. This is particularly important when regional offices are responsible for the implementation of the ITU Strategic Plan, in particular the regional initiatives and preparations of major events are led by the Regional Directors who coordinate with focal points at ITU headquarters and in close cooperation with regional telecommunication organizations. | Viet Nam on behalf of APT presented “Strengthening the Regional presence” - Resolution 25 (Rev Guadalajara, 2010) ACP/67A2/3 at COM6. There was no immediate comment or discussion and a second proposal on the same topic ( IAP34R1-A1/33 ) was presented by CITEL, which was similar to the APT proposal but was much more detailed. The CITEL proposal contained many wide ranging proposals such as a Staffing, increased transparency, Survey of Satisfaction to be conducted on Regional Offices and that the Regional Offices should be under one umbrella of each sector of ITU i.e. RB, TSB and BDT. There was considerable discussion on the proposals and it was agreed that CITEL and APT would work together and bring back a consolidated draft for Resolution 25.The discussion on Res.25 had agreed on the new revision of Resolution 25 in which all of the amendments from APT are reflected. Document was made available in DT/15. It was approved by COM6.  |
| Proposed Revision of Resolution 58 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010): *Strengthening the relations between ITU and regional telecommunication organizations and regional preparations for the Plenipotentiary Conference and other major Conferences and assemblies* | **ACP/67A2/4** | In this ACP, APT Members have examined Resolution 58 (Guadalajara, 2010) and made necessary amendments in order to reflect the need for organizing inter-regional coordination meetings for Plenipotentiary Conferences, and to amend other parts of the Resolution to clarify the objectives of the Resolution. | ACP/67A2/4 dealing with Resolution 58 “Strengthening of relations between ITU and regional telecommunications organizations and regional preparations for the Plenipotentiary Conference” was presented by Iran. There were several comments from various members but most related to the need to carefully define the difference between the Regional Organizations and “of the region” as Cuba is a non- regional group member and felt that the APT proposal did not adequately cover their position. US also brought up the need to consider budgetary considerations.Iran agreed to form a group to redraft the proposal taking into account the comments raised by Members. |
| Proposed Revision of Resolution 140 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010): *ITU’s role in implementing the outcomes of the World Summit on the Information Society* | **ACP/67A2/5** | In this ACP, APT Members propose to revise Resolution 140 (Rev. Guadalajara, 2010) taking into account the actions which were taken and activities which were carried out since 2010. | Working Group of the Plenary considered Resolution 140 on ITU's Role in Implementing the Outcome of the WSIS. The APT document was presented by Iran and later supported by Japan and China. Other proposals from other regions were also presented. In principle, the modifications to Resolution 140 were all in the same line of updating the Resolution 140 and  therefore, the chairman asked Dr. Minkin of Russia to consolidate the views and bring it back as one document for consideration of the Working Group of the Plenary.The consolidated proposal is in document DT/48 which was approved by WGPL. |
|  Proposed Revision of Resolution 183 (Guadalajara, 2010): *Telecommunication/ICT applications for e-health* | **ACP/67A2/7** | In this APC, APT Members propose to revise Resolution 183 (Guadalajara, 2010) taking into account the outcomes of WTSA-12 and other related outputs of ITU-T since PP-10. The revision is to also make Resolution 183 more consistent with the new resolution 54 of WTDC-14 (Dubai), which merged 3 resolutions of WTDC-10, namely Resolution 54 (Rev. Hyderabad, 2010) of WTDC, on ICT applications; Resolution 65 Improving access to healthcare services by using information and communication technologies (Rev. Hyderabad, 2010) of WTDC; Resolution 74 (Hyderabad, 2010) of the World Telecommunication Development Conference (WTDC), on more effective adoption of e government services. | Australia presented this ACP at the meeting of WGPL. USA had spoken with APT Coordinator and proposed a minor amendment to the ACP. It was acceptable to APT. It was approved by WGPL and subsequently by PL in document 126.All the revisions proposed in the ACP were reflected in the outcome with the minor modification by USA.  |