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**Abstract**

The adoption of Next Generation Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) is vital for addressing the depletion of Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4) addresses and the overall growth of the Internet in a developing country. Despite the criticality of shortage of IPv4 addresses, developing nations around the world have been slow to adopt IPv6. As the internet becomes increasingly critical to the nations’ social and economic infrastructure, attention has rightly been focused on the proper, safe, reliable, and secure operation of the trusted core internet infrastructure. This document is prepared to raise some topics for discussion pertaining to Resolution 64 – IP Address Allocation and Facilitating the Transition to and Deployment of IPv6.

**Introduction**

This document is the output of joint drafting group on Draft PACP of Resolution 64, addresses the necessities and importance of revising Resolution 64, then proposes to revise Resolution 64 to enhance the standardization work on facilitating the transition to and deployment of IPv6 in following aspects.

1. To ensure that while connectivity services offer IPv6, OTT and applications also need to be IPv6 ready to drive the adoption higher.
2. To emphasize that IPv4 is fully exhausted and IPv6 is critical for Internet connectivity and services where it should be fully adopted by new technologies such as IoT, IMT-2020 and Smart City. IPv6 transition must be accelerated and toward a IPv6 - only phase.
3. To illustrate the need of having local IPv6 Root Server, in the country. The recommendations are intended to meet the country specific capacity building needs, to manage the Next Generation Internet more efficiently.

**Proposal**

APT members propose the revision of WTSA-16 Resolution 64 shown in the Annex of this document.

**Annex**

RESOLUTION 64 (Rev. Hammamet, 2016)

Internet protocol address allocation and facilitating the transition to and deployment of IPv6

(Johannesburg, 2008; Dubai, 2012; Hammamet, 2016)

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (Hammamet, 2016),

recognizing

*a)* Resolutions 101 (Rev.  Dubai 2018), 102 (Rev.  Dubai 2018) and 180 (Rev.  Dubai 2018) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, and Resolution 63 (Rev. Buenos Aires, 2017) of the World Telecommunication Development Conference;

*b)* that the exhaustion of IPv4 addresses calls for acceleration of IPv4 to IPv6 migration, which becomes an important issue for Member States and Sector Members;

*c)* the result of the ITU IPv6 Group, which has carried out the work that was assigned to it;

*d)* that future work on IPv6 human capacity building is to be continued and led by the Telecommunication Development Bureau (BDT), in collaboration with other relevant organizations, if required,

noting

*a)* that Internet protocol (IP) addresses are fundamental resources that are essential for the future development of IP-based telecommunication/information and communication technology (ICT) networks and for the world economy;

*b)* that many countries believe that there are historical imbalances related to IPv4 allocation;

*c)* that IPv4 is already exhausted worldwide and that it is urgent to promote migration to IPv6;

*d)* the ongoing collaboration and coordination between ITU and relevant organizations on IPv6 capacity building in order to respond to the needs of Member States and Sector Members;

*e)* the progress towards adoption of IPv6 that has been made over the last few years,

considering

*a)* that, among the relevant stakeholders in the Internet community, there is a need to continue discussions related to IPv6 deployment and disseminate information in this regard;

*b)* that IPv6 deployment and migration is an important issue for Member States and Sector Members.

*c)* that many developing countries[[1]](#footnote-1)1 are still facing challenges in the IPv4 to IPv6 transition process, including due to the limited technical skills in this area;

*d)* that there are Member States with sufficient technical skills in IPv6 that are nevertheless encountering a delay in the IPv4 to IPv6 transition due to various reasons;

*e)* that Member States have an important role to play in promoting the deployment of IPv6;

*f)* that prompt deployment of IPv6 is increasingly urgent on account of the rapid rate of depletion of IPv4 addresses;

*g)* that many developing countries want the Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU‑T) to become a registry of IP addresses in order to give the developing countries the option of obtaining IP addresses directly from ITU, while other countries prefer to use the current system;

*h)* that deployment of IPv6 facilitates Internet of things (IoT) solutions, which require a huge amount of IP addresses and IPv6 is an advanced protocol for SmartCity;

*i)* that new communication infrastructure such as 4G/LTE and 5G networks will require IPv6 support for better communication,

resolves

1 to instruct ITU‑T Study Groups 2 and 3, each according to its mandate, to continue to study the allocation of IP addresses, and to monitor and evaluate the allocation of IPv4 addresses which may be still available, returned or unused, in the interests of the developing countries;

2 to instruct Study Groups 2 and 3, each according to its mandate, to analyse statistics for the purpose of assessing the pace and geography of IPv6 address allocation and registration for interested members and, especially, developing countries, in collaboration with all relevant stakeholders;

3 to enhance the exchange of experiences and information with all stakeholders regarding the deployment of IPv6, with the aim of creating opportunities for collaborative efforts and the enhancement of technical skills, and to ensure that feedback exists to enrich ITU efforts to support the transition to and deployment of IPv6,

instructs the Director of the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau, in close collaboration with the Director of the Telecommunication Development Bureau

1 to continue the ongoing activities between the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau (TSB) and BDT, taking into consideration the involvement of those partners willing to participate and bring their expertise to assist developing countries with IPv6 migration and deployment, and respond to their regional needs as identified by BDT, taking into account Resolution 63 (Rev. Dubai, 2014);

2 to update and maintain the website which provides information about global activities related to IPv6, in order to facilitate awareness-raising and highlight the importance of IPv6 deployment for all ITU members and interested entities, as well as information related to training events being undertaken by ITU and relevant organizations (e.g. regional Internet registries (RIR), network operator groups and the Internet Society (ISOC));

3 to promote awareness of the importance of IPv6 deployment, facilitate joint training activities, involving appropriate experts from the relevant entities, provide information, including roadmaps and guidelines, and assist in the continued establishment of IPv6 test-bed laboratories in developing countries in collaboration with appropriate relevant organizations, and to promote awareness of the advantages of IPv6 over IPv4 with regard to IoT given the substantial demand for IP addresses for IoT devices;

4 to support BDT in relevant IPv6 training for engineers, network operators including mobile operators and content providers, and governmental entities that can enhance their skills and which they can further apply at their respective organizations,

further instructs the Director of the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau

to take appropriate action to facilitate the activities of Study Groups 2 and 3 in the area of IP addresses, and to report to the ITU Council and also to the 2020 world telecommunication standardization assembly, regarding the progress on action taken with respect to *resolves* above,

invites Member States and Sector Members

1 through the knowledge gained under *resolves* 3, to promote specific initiatives at the national level which foster interaction with governmental, private and academic entities and civil society for the purposes of the information exchange necessary for the deployment of IPv6 in their respective countries;

2 to ensure that newly deployed network equipment, computer equipment and software have IPv6 capability, as appropriate, taking into consideration a necessary period for the transition from IPv4 to IPv6;

3 to consider committing to an IPv6 transition and communicating progress,

4 to ensure that while connectivity services offer IPv6, OTT and applications also need to be IPv6 ready to drive the adoption higher;

5. to build a relevant IPv6 deployment plan toward IPv6-only phase,

invites Member States

1 to develop national policies to promote the technological update of systems, in order to ensure that the public services provided utilizing the IP protocol and the communications infrastructure and relevant applications of the Member States are compatible with IPv6;

2 to develop national policies to promote IPv6 deployment in IMT-2020, Smart City, IoT, e-Gov

3 to consider the possibility of national programmes to encourage Internet service providers (ISPs), content providers, mobile operators, governmental entities and other relevant organizations to transition to IPv6;

4 to consider using government procurement requirements to encourage deployment of IPv6 among ISPs and other relevant organizations, if appropriate;

5 to share experiences regarding IPv6 to facilitate faster transition to IPv6;

6. to establish setting up test beds for skill development and capacity building for creation and operation of national critical and trusted digital infrastructure;

7. to consider committing to more IPv6-only infrastructure & services to drive the adoption higher and consequently reducing dependency on IPv4.

1. 1 These include the least developed countries, small island developing states, landlocked developing countries and countries with economies in transition. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)