Consideration for the preparation of APG 19, particularly APG19-1

In order to respond to one of the objectives of APG Working Methods,

* assist APT Member countries, specially developing countries, in their preparations for WRCs, RAs, CPMs and the SC so that the interests of the region are protected.

The following are proposed for consideration;

* The objectives of each APG meeting needs to be identified and decided in the previous APG meeting for better preparation of Members
* It is proposed that APG19-1 be organized to have sessions to review of the Agenda Items including backgrounds, proponents of A.I and issues identified by the first CPM results and the discussion of the work plan for the ITU-R responsible groups.
* Members are encouraged to provide any additional information which may assist the other members’ better understanding, particularly those who have little opportunity to participate in the responsible groups
* Members may suggest any additional issues which may need to be studied in the responsible groups and/or provide views on the issues identified by the responsible groups.
* It would be benefit for APG if APG19-1 be able to develop processes to report on the each A.I and then continue to update this report in its subsequent meetings.
* In the beginning stage of APG 19, such as APG19-1, APG19-2, work should focus on updating issue report rather than developing APT Preliminary Views.
* APG coordination work at CPM, RA and especially at WRC needs to be further developed so that the region can react quicker to changes at the meeting. This will require reviewing the APG working Methods which should start at APG19‑1.