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1. Agenda Item 7 Issues C1, C2, C3, C6, C7, E, F, K and M
2. APT Preliminary Views and/or APT Views for the modification of draft CPM Report (which was submitted to CPM19-2) on the Agenda Item

**Issue C – Issues for which consensus was achieved in ITU-R**

Issue C1 - Inconsistency between provisions of RR No.11.43A of RR Article 11 and paragraph 8.13 of Article 8 of RR Appendix 30B

APT Members support the single method in the draft CPM text to address this issue by aligning the text of paragraph 8.13 of Article 8 of RR Appendix **30B** with that of RR No. **11.43A** of RR Article **11** while ensuring that this alignment should not impact on any other current regulatory practice.

**Issue C2 - Clarification of the possibility to notify/bring into use only one of the blocks/one sub-band under AP30B Article 6**

APT Members support the single method in the draft CPM text which can allow administrations to submit an application for one of the blocks/sub-bands of 250 MHz (10.7-10.95 GHz or 11.2‑11.45 GHz for downlink and 12.75-13.0 GHz or 13.0-13.25 GHz for uplink) in an explicit submission of one of the blocks/sub-bands under RR Appendix **30B**.

**Issue C3 - AP30B MOD to Article 6 No. 6.10**

APT Members support the single method in the draft CPM text to add a new provision in Article 6 of RR Appendix **30B** to clearly state that § 6.13 to 6.15 of RR Appendix **30B** do not apply in the context of requirements associated with §6.6 of RR Appendix **30B**.

**Issue C6 - Single AP4 notice for entry into the RR Appendix 30B List (under § 6.17) and Notification (under § 8.1)**

APT Members support the single method in the draft CPM text to allow a single submission to be treated both in respect of entry into the List under §6.17 and notification under §8.1 of RR Appendix **30B** to reduce workload of both administration and the Bureau.

**Issue C7 - Harmonization of AP30B with AP30/30A on Possibility of Obtaining Agreement for a Specific Period**

APT Members support the single method in the draft CPM text to add a new provision 6.15bis to Article 6 and a new provision §8.16bis to Article 8 of RR Appendix 30B in order to recognize the possibility of obtaining agreement from affected administrations for a specified period. It is also proposed to modify § 5.2.6 of Article 5 of Appendix 30A to Radio Regulations.

APT Members proposes the modification of CPM text.

**Issue E: Resolution related to RR Appendix 30B**

APT members support the single method in the draft CPM text to establish special measures to be applied once with respect to the submission received from an administration having no frequency assignments in the RR Appendix **30B** List the details of which are to be contained in a WRC Resolution to facilitate the tasks of those administrations to provide an economically viable satellite service to its national territory as initially considered when the allotment Plan was established in 1988.

**Issue F – Measures to facilitate entering new assignments into the RR Appendix 30B List**

APT members support to further study the measures to facilitate entering new assignments into the RR Appendix 30B List.

**Issue K – Difficulties for Part B examinations under § 4.1.12 or 4.2.16 of RR Appendices 30 and 30A and § 6.21 c) of RR Appendix 30B**

APT Members support the Method in the draft CPM text to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 c) of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

**Issue M – Simplified regulatory regime for non-GSO satellite systems with short duration missions**

APT members support a simplified regulatory regime for non-GSO satellite systems with short duration missions. APT members also support the principles of the draft new WRC Resolution in draft CPM text.

APT members are of the view that the simplified regulatory regime for non-GSO satellite systems with short-duration missions should not place any burden on potentially affected administrations.

APT members support the retention of the typical 4 month commenting period from the date of BR IFIC containing information published under No. **9.2B.**

1. Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above

None.

1. Progress of discussion during CPM19-2 on the Agenda Item

**Issue C – Issues for which consensus was achieved in ITU-R**

With respect to issue C.

The CPM-2 did not receive contributions on Issues C1, C2, C3 and C6.

Regarding to issue C7: APT proposed to delete the text including.

See also Resolution 905 (WRC-07).

And note by the secretariat because of this Resolution was abrogated by WRC-12.

SWG 3d agreed with APT proposal.

**Issue E: Resolution related to RR Appendix 30B**

Concerning to issue E, SWG 3d received two contributions from Iran and Asiasat proposes:

* some amendments to paragraph 2.1;
* On the one hand, add a editorial reference in the relevant part of the document to point towards the resolves part of the Resolution on the other hand are required in order to maintain the required consistency with the criteria and condition as contained in paragraphs 2.1, 2.1 and 2.3 of Annex 4 to RR Appendix **30B;**

SWG 3d agreed with the proposal.

The meeting also received the proposal to reduce the coordination arc and accept with proposal.

**Issue F – Measures to facilitate entering new assignments into the RR Appendix 30B List**

With respect to Issue F:

APT members support to further study the measures to facilitate entering new assignments into the RR Appendix 30B List.

The meeting received contribution from Asiasat and Russia with:

* Proposal for modification of the text similar to issue E and
* Proposal for the date of entering into force of Modifications as described in Method F1, In particular,
* Method F1 proposes modifications of limits applicable of Annex 3 to submissions received under Article 6 or Article 7 of Appendix **30B**. It is proposed that the modifications not applied to filings of frequency assignments until the date 22 November 2019.
* On the other hand, Method F1 proposes modifications of criteria for determining whether a frequency allotment or assignment is considered to be affected.
* With regard to existing satellite networks whose frequency assignments were added to the List with characteristics filed prior to the WRC-07, it is proposed to apply the coordination criteria established previously, before the date of 17 November 2007 (the first day following the completion of the WRC-07, which adopted significant modifications to Appendix **30B** to the Radio Regulations).

Due to complexity of this issue, offline discussion have been implemented to resolve the issue.

The meeting agreed to add two new methods F2 and F3. In which

Method F2: This method would be the same as Method F1 except that to allow new submissions of administrations to benefit from already agreed single entry C/I values, the provisions as contained in the current Appendix 30B to this effect would be retained.

Method F3: This method would be based on either Methods F1 or F2, but in addition, protection of the existing and operational additional systems recorded in the List prior to a specified date will be provided by applying criteria specified in Annex 4 of Appendix 30B to the Radio Regulations (rev WRC-07).

**Issue K – Difficulties for Part B examinations under § 4.1.12 or 4.2.16 of RR Appendices 30 and 30A and § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix 30B**

APT Members support the Method in the draft CPM text to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 c) of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

11 Arab countries noted Studies contained in the draft CPM text, which was amended by RR Appendix **30**/**30A** similar to those proposed to RR Appendix **30B**. However, the studies contained doesn’t reflects similar course of action with respect to provisions of RR Appendix **30**/**30A**.

BR has no difficulties to implement.

France and US and some other countries support retain of the text. The reason for that process is similar between AP30 and AP30B with AP30B.

Luxembourg proposes the text to describe the similar between AP30 and AP30B and AP30B.

The meeting agreed with the proposal.

**Issue M – Simplified regulatory regime for non-GSO satellite systems with short duration missions**

APT members support a simplified regulatory regime and principles of the draft new WRC Resolution in draft CPM text.

In addition, APT members support the retention of the typical 4 month commenting period from the date of BR IFIC**.**

The United States, Brazil proposes a new Method, M1, for No Change (NOC) to the Radio Regulations.

Canada proposes modifications to the draft CPM Report on WRC-19 agenda item 7, Issue M as shown in the attachment to this contribution. These modifications consist of:

– providing more information to the reader regarding the timelines considered by some administrations as problematic;

– modifying the approach considered in the method to address the changes to the Articles 9 and 11 procedures to support the development and the operation of non-GSO satellite systems with short duration mission.

These proposed modifications are the results of a preliminary assessment of the draft CPM text for this issue and their potential impacts on the overall satellite regulatory framework. They do not fundamentally change what is currently proposed under issue M but they may reduce the perceived risk of negatively affecting the integrity of the international satellite regulatory framework. Nevertheless, it is of view that further discussions are needed to understand, amongst other things, the impacts of these proposals (e.g. reduction of the comment period following the publication of APIs) on administrations and on the Radiocommunications Bureau.

A lot of discussion on Canada’s documents.

11 Arap countries proposed to add the paragraph 4 to “invites administrations”

The meeting circled back to this issue.

Chairman created draft compilation document on issue M (changed to issue I) with proposed modification to tiltle, executive summary, background, summary of ITU-R study and analysis of the results of ITU-R studies, method to satisfy the issue, in which add new method of no change. Modification to draft new resolution [A7 (I) NGSO short duration] including annex of this resolution. Proposal to keep 4 months period have been reflected on issue I.