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1. **Agenda Item 7 Issue J - Possible modification to Section 1 of Annex 1 of RR AP30 to allow a specified power flux-density to be exceeded**

To consider possible changes, and other options, in response to Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, an advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures for frequency assignments pertaining to satellite networks, in accordance with Resolution **86 (Rev.WRC‑07)**, in order to facilitate rational, efficient and economical use of radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary‑satellite orbit.

1. **APT Common Proposals and APT Views for WRC-19 (which has been submitted to WRC-19)**

APT Members could not agree on a common view and decided not to develop Preliminary APT Common Proposal (PACP) for Agenda Item 7 Issue J.

1. **Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above**

All regional groups, Korea, Indonesia, China and Vietnam support no change to the Radio Regulations (Method J2).

Japan and Australia support Method J1 i.e. to allow List assignments to exceed the pfd limit given only within the national territory of the notifying administration.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Method in CPM Report** | **Proponents of Methods of APT Members at APG19-5 / WRC-19** |
| Method J1 | AUS, J, MLA, THA |
| Method J2 | INS, CHN, KOR, VTN |

1. **Progress of discussion during WRC-19 on the Agenda Item**

*Updates of the offline discussions: As a way forward, Japan and Australia propose to add a footnote for Method J1, that applies to countries named in the footnote.* *Discussion on this issue is on-going.*

1. **Issues which require discussion at APT Coordination Meetings and seek guidance thereafter**
2. Seek APT Members views / concurrence on the proposed way forward i.e. footnote for Method J1.
3. If agreeable, any other administration who would like to be part of the footnote?