|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Agenda item**
 |
| **Agenda Item****1.5** | *to review the spectrum use and spectrum needs of existing services in the frequency band 470-960 MHz in Region 1 and consider possible regulatory actions in the frequency band 470‑694 MHz in Region 1 on the basis of the review in accordance with Resolution* ***235******(WRC‑15)****;* |
| **Working Group** | WG-1 | **Sub-Working Group**  | SWG-1E a.i. 1.5 |
| **Coordinator** | Dr. Azim Fard (online)Dr. Jaewoo Lim (offline) | **Email** | azimfard@cra.ir jwlim@korea.kr |
| **WP Chair** | Dr. Jaewoo Lim | **Email** | jwlim@korea.kr |
| **Report Date** | **April 03, 2023** |
|  |
| 1. **APT**
 |
| **APT Preliminary Views**CPM23-2/xxxx | APT Members are of the view that conclusion to be reached on the Agenda Item 1.5 is a Region 1 issue, and WRC-23 decisions shall in no way adversely affect Region 3 frequency allocations and existing and future use of the relevant frequency band. |
| **APT Views for modification of CPM Report**CPM23-2/xxxx | - |
| **Outcome from earlier** **APT Coord meeting** | - |
|  |
| 1. **Other regional groups**
 |
|  | **ATU**  | **ASMG** | **CEPT** | **CITEL** | **RCC** |
| **Input(s)** | CPM23-2/xxxx | CPM23-2/xxxx | [CPM23-2/10](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0010/en) | CPM23-2/xxxx | CPM23-2/xxxx |
| **Summary of views/proposals** | **None** | **None** | A modification to the existing Method F contained in the draft CPM Report, which adds to this Method a further proposal for a new agenda item for WRC-31, through modification of Resolution **235** **(WRC-15)**, for consideration of a possible upgrade of the allocation to the mobile service. | **None** | **None** |
|  |
| 1. **Summary of discussions during CPM23-2**
 |
| **Working documents/TEMPs etc** | [CPM23-2/DT/27](https://www.itu.int/dms_inf/itu-r/md/19/cpm23.2/td/230327/R19-CPM23.2-230327-TD-0027%21%21MSW-E.docx) |
| The SWG 1E (AI 1.5) received eight inputs such as Doc [5](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0005/en) (IUCAF), [10](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0010/en) (CEPT), [11](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0011/en) (CEPT), [54](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0054/en) (CHN), [125](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0125/en) (RAI), [202 (IRN)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0202/en), [207](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0207/en) (BBC, EBU, Sennheiser), [209](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-CPM23.2-C-0209/en) (SKAO) on modification of the draft CPM text. Based on compiled Doc ([Compilation document ai 1.5\_DL-030e](https://extranet.itu.int/rsg-meetings/cpm/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=%7B85106039-9E92-4933-8F4E-42614560D141%7D&file=Compilation%20document%20ai%201.5_DL-030e.docx&action=default&CT=1680591250158&OR=DocLibClassicUI)), this SWG developed the consolidated document through four session meetings. The main meeting results are as follows;* **(Scope of Resolution 235),** there was no consensus on modification of VIEWs text before section 1/1.5/1 (Executive summary), and deletion of Views in section 1/1.5/3 (Summary and analysis of the results of ITU-R studies) as well. Therefore, the original draft CPM text is retained as it was.
* **(The comparison of co-channel sharing situations between IMT and DTTB)** After some discussion on this issue, three views were reflected.
* **(Method E)** Regarding secondary allocation to MS, three views are reflected in the CPM report.
 |
|  |
| 1. **Issue(s) which require discussion and further guidance at APG Coordination meeting**
 |
|  |