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Date: 1 Feb 12

**REPORT OF THE WRC-12 AGENDA ITEM COORDINATOR**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agenda Item No.**: AI 1.4 |
| **Name of the Coordinator (with Email)**: Eddy D’Amico (AUS) (eddy.damico@airservicesaustralia.com) |
| **Issues:** to consider, based on the results of ITU‑R studies, any further regulatory measures to facilitate introduction of new aeronautical mobile (R) service (AM(R)S) systems in the bands 112-117.975 MHz, 960-1 164 MHz and 5 000-5 030 MHz in accordance with Resolutions **413 (Rev.WRC‑07)**, **417 (WRC‑07)** and **420 (WRC‑07)** |
| **APT Proposals**:**MOD** ASP/26A4/1Support Method A of CPM Report - revisions to Res 413 as studies on protection of FM broadcasting receivers operating below 108 MHz are complete.**MOD** ASP/26A4/2Support Method B of CPM Report - revisions to Res 417 to reflect studies on protection of RNSS and non-ICAO ARNS systems are complete. Further, APT Members believe that it is important that practical operational measures be developed to facilitate the coordination between AM(R)S systems and non-ICAO ARNS systems.**SUP** ASP/26A4/3Support Method C1 of CPM Report – no additional AM(R)S allocation at 5 GHz and suppression of Res 420 as studies have been completed. |
| **Status of the APT Proposals:****MOD** ASP/26A4/1Second reading of revised Res 413 approved at Plenary on 26 Jan.**MOD** ASP/26A4/2BR confirmed that **No. 9.21** could apply to coordination between AM(R)S and non-ICAO ARNS. However, RCC countries stated they could not accept application of **No. 9.21** as they do not want BR involvement. The compromise text for *Resolves 2)* was proposed as way ahead (refer to 2nd APT a.i. 1.4 report). After some discussion this compromise was accepted. APT agreed not to apply **No. 9.21** in *Resolves 2)* but instead include text stating that “an administration not responding within a four-month period after receiving the request for seeking agreement shall be regarded as unaffected”, and that the APT developed *noting c)* is included in the revised Res 417. WP4B will consider the revised Res 417 on Fri 3 Feb.**SUP** ASP/26A4/3Strong support for APT proposal (no additional allocation to AM(R)S). The US, AFCP, SADC and other African countries now looking at a country footnote for an allocation in the 5 000-5 010 MHz band.  |
| **Issues to be discussed at the Coordination Meeting:** Res 420: – CEPT strongly oppose the development of an opt-in country footnote. After considerable debate it was decided by SWG a.i. 1.4 to pass this matter to WP4B. WP4B will consider this matter at 15:40 this afternoon. APT position has been to reiterate our support for Method C1 but to remain silent in the debate on the development of an opt-in footnote for Administrations seeking an additional allocation. The allocation of AM(R)S by footnote will have no impact to APT countries. |
| **Comments/Remarks by the Coordinator**: For Res 420, APT needs to clarify position on country footnote proposal by other Administrations before WP4B meeting. |